1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
10	MARIA GUTIERREZ,	CASE NO. C22-456 MJP
	,	
11	Plaintiff,	MINUTE ORDER
12	V.	
13 14	CITY OF ARLINGTON, MICHAEL KNIGHT, JOSHUA FOX, JOHN DOE 1-10,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	The following minute order is made by the d	lirection of the court, the Honorable Marsha
18	J. Pechman, United States Senior District Judge:	
19	The Court has reviewed the Parties' Stipulated and Agreed Protective Order. (Dkt. No.	
20	14.) Although the agreed protective order largely tracks the District's Model Protective Order, it	
21	includes overbroad designations of "confidential" materials. (See	
22	https://www.wawd.uscourts.gov/sites/wawd/files/ModelStipulatedProtectiveOrder.pdf.) As the	
23	Model Order specifies, when identifying "confidential" materials, "[t]he parties must include a	
24	wiodel Order specifies, when identifying confident	iai materiais, [tjne parties must include a
∠ <del>+</del>	I and the second	

1	list of specific documents such as 'company's customer list' or 'plaintiff's medical records'" and	
2	they should "not list broad categories of documents such as 'sensitive business material." (See	
3	id.) The Parties' agreed protective order identifies "Arlington Police Department records" as	
4	confidential. This is far too broad a category of documents that runs afoul of the Model Order's	
5	requirement for specificity. It would also allow for the overbroad designation of materials as	
6	confidential. The Court DENIES the Motion without prejudice. Any revised agreed protective	
7	order must identify a more specific subset of police records that Defendants believe are	
8	"confidential."	
9	The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.	
10	Filed November 9, 2022.	
11	Ravi Subramanian	
12	Clerk of Court	
13	s/Serge Bodnarchuk  Deputy Clerk	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		